Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/12/31: CIA-RDP87M01152R000901200020-3 2 (17)

OLL-85-0449 13 February 1985

STAT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: DCI, DDCI Use of Government Vehicles

**STAT** 

- 1. On 5 February 1985, Deputy General Counsel, called me concerning a 29 January 1985 New York Times article addressing government officials' use of chauffeured limousines. The article described Senator Proxmire's successful efforts in calling attention to and obtaining more vigorous enforcement of a little known statute (31 U.S.C. § 1344) limiting the use by government officials of government vehicles for home-to-office transportation. The article noted that this statute contained an exception for cabinet-level officers and special medical and diplomatic personnel. It then stated that Congress last year had specifically expanded this exception to authorize home-to-office transportation for the expressed his surprise at this statement DCI and DDCI. since he was not aware of any such bill having passed last session and asked if I was familiar with this legislation. informed that I would call appropriate committee staffers to determine the accuracy of the above information.
- On this same day, I spoke with Larry Patton, a staff assistant to Senator Proxmire who had personally worked on this particular matter. Larry noted that he had, in fact, just recently spoken at some length with the New York Times reporter on this subject. Larry remarked that the statement concerning recent Congressional approval of an exception for the DCI and DDCI appeared to be a muddled account of his description of the Agency's existing Section 8 authority which permitted the DCI and DDCI to use regular chauffeured home-to-office transportation. In his discussion with the Times reporter, Larry had mentioned that the exceptions contained in the statute itself, 31 U.S.C. § 1344, were not all-inclusive since certain agencies (i.e. CIA and DoD) were authorized to provide such home-to-office transportation "notwithstanding any other provisions of law." The reporter apparently misinterpreted Larry's remark to mean that the Agency's Section 8 authorities and this "notwithstanding any other provisions of law" language had recently been provided to CIA during Congressional consideration of this issue.

SUBJECT: DCI, DDCI Use of Government Vehicles

concerns about this are Senator Proxmire were : January response and are 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403j) of for the DCI and DDCI. adequately resolved Senatory's use of governments	ticle, Larry sta fully satisfied greed that Secti authorized home- In Larry's view nator Proxmire's	ated that bot with the Age ion 8 of the -to-office to , this 17 Ja	th he and ency's 17 CIA Act of ransportati anuary lett	on er
4. I passed the all Chuck Briggs this same this information to the	day. Chuck sta	ted that he	would prov	
				STA <sup>-</sup>
		·	\$	TAT

STAT